UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,701	12/08/2006	Toshiya Fujisato	AKA-293	4758
23599 7590 03/05/2010 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			BEISNER, WILLIAM H	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1797		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/568,701	FUJISATO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		WILLIAM H. BEISNER	1797			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Fe</u>	ehruary 2006				
•		action is non-final.				
/—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
· —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
OI:	ood in accordance with the practice under E	in parte Quayle, 1000 C.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Disposition	n of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application	n Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Ą	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)□ Th	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Informat	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 and 3-9, drawn to a substrate inoculation device.

Group II, claim(s) 2, drawn to drawn to a substrate inoculation device.

Group III, claim(s) 10 and 12-18, drawn to a substrate inoculation method.

Group IV, claim(s) 11, drawn to a substrate inoculation method.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of the Group I invention includes two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes lie in mutually spaced apart planes and extend in different directions in the planes which is not present in Group II. The special technical feature of the Group II invention includes two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes extend neither in parallel nor intersecting with each other which is not present in Group I.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of the Group I invention includes two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes lie in mutually spaced apart planes and extend in different directions in the planes which is not present in Group IV. The special technical feature of the Group IV invention includes two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes extend neither in parallel nor intersecting with each other which is not present in Group I.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I and III each include the common technical features expressed in claim 1. However, the technical features of claim 1 do not constitute a special technical feature because they are not a contribution over the prior art. Coombs (US 5,266,273) shows two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes lie in mutually spaced apart planes and extend in different directions in the planes as recited in claim 1.

5. The inventions listed as Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of the Group II invention includes two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes extend neither in parallel nor intersecting with each other which is not present in Group III. The special technical feature of the Group III invention includes two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes lie in mutually spaced apart planes and extend in different directions in the planes which is not present in Group II.

6. The inventions listed as Groups II and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups II and IV each include the common technical features expressed in claim 2. However, the technical features of claim 2 do not constitute a special technical feature because they are not a contribution over the prior art. Coombs (US 5,266,273) shows two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes extend neither in parallel nor intersecting with each other as recited in claim 2.

7. The inventions listed as Groups III and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of the Group III invention includes two drive means for rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes lie in mutually spaced apart planes and extend in different directions in the planes which is not present in Group IV. The special technical feature of the Group IV invention includes two drive means for

Art Unit: 1797

rotatably supporting a container wherein the first and second rotational axes extend neither in parallel nor intersecting with each other which is not present in Group III.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM H. BEISNER whose telephone number is (571)272-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:15am to 3:45pm.

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael A. Marcheschi, can be reached on 571-272-1374. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Beisner/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

WHB